Schriftenreihe für Internationales und Vergleichendes Sozialrecht

Band 15

Social Protection by Way of International Law

Appraisal, Deficits and Further Development

Edited by

Bernd Baron von Maydell Angelika Nußberger



Duncker & Humblot · Berlin

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR AUSLÄNDISCHES UND INTERNATIONALES SOZIALRECHT

Social Protection by Way of International Law

Schriftenreihe für Internationales und Vergleichendes Sozialrecht

Herausgegeben von Bernd Baron v. Maydell, München

Band 15

Social Protection by Way of International Law

Appraisal, Deficits and Further Development

Edited by

Bernd Baron von Maydell Angelika Nußberger



Duncker & Humblot · Berlin

Die Deutsche Bibliothek - CIP-Einheitsaufnahme

Social protection by way of international law: appraisal deficits and further development / ed. by Bernd Baron von Maydell; Angelika Nussberger. – Berlin: Duncker und Humblot, 1996

(Schriftenreihe für internationales und vergleichendes

Sozialrecht; Bd. 15) ISBN 3-428-08663-5

NE: Maydell, Bernd Baron von [Hrsg.]; GT

Alle Rechte, auch die des auszugsweisen Nachdrucks, der fotomechanischen Wiedergabe und der Übersetzung, für sämtliche Beiträge vorbehalten © 1996 Duncker & Humblot GmbH, Berlin Fotoprint: Berliner Buchdruckerei Union GmbH, Berlin Printed in Germany

ISSN 0720-6739 ISBN 3-428-08663-5

Gedruckt auf alterungsbeständigem (säurefreiem) Papier entsprechend ISO 9706 ⊚

Preface

The colloquium on the subject of Social Protection by Way of International Law was convened by the Max Planck Institute for Foreign and International Social Law at Tutzing from 21 to 23 November 1994. The Evangelische Akademie not only offered its lovely premises for this occasion, but as coorganizer also took up the colloquium theme in its own programme, thus making it clear that the international dimension of social security is not only a question of scientific interest.

This volume contains a collection of the essays presented at the colloquium in a slightly revised form for this published version. Divergent from previous colloquium publications, summaries of the discussions on the individual papers have been dispensed with. Instead, an analytical report summarizing and evaluating the papers and their discussion is presented at the end of the volume

In keeping with the subject matter, the composition of the speakers and participants was international. Hence, in order to transcend national boundaries in the discussion of the issues addressed at the colloquium, the language of publication is English. The necessary translations were prepared by Ms. Esther Ihle, to whom we are especially indebted for her work. We likewise thank Ms. Ingrid I. Werner for the volume layout and Ms. Martha Roßmayer for proof-reading the manuscripts.

Needless to say, we are also grateful to the *Evangelische Akademie* for its catering and hospitable accommodation, as well as to the authors of the essays and all the other participants who contributed their thoughts and experience to the discussions. It is to be hoped that this dialogue opened in Tutzing will be continued.

Munich, September 1995

Bernd von Maydell Angelika Nußberger

Table of Contents

Bernd von Maydell and Angelika Nußberger	
General Introduction	13
Part I	
Standard-Setting Activities in the Field of Social and Labour Law	
Héctor G. Bartolomei de la Cruz	
International Labour Law: Renewal or Decline?	19
Theo Öhlinger	
Standard-Setting Activities by Regional Institutions, Taking the Council of Europe as an Example: The European Social Charter	43
Manfred Zuleeg	
Social Rights in the European Community	59
Part II	
Law-Making, Enforcement, Supervision: Mechanisms Provided by International Law	
Bruno Simma and Markus Zöckler	
Social Protection by International Law: Law-Making by Universal Organizations (Especially the United Nations)	69
Rüdiger Wolfrum	
Obligations Under Public International Law to Implement International Rules: Mechanisms to Monitor such Implementation	87
Budislav Vukas	
The Diverse Supervisory Procedures in a Comparison	105
Part III	
International Standards and National Law	
Jürgen von Muralt	
The Role of Technical Cooperation in the Promotion of International Labour	
Standards	133

Rolf Schuler				
The Consideration of International Standards in National Legal Practice (Administration and Court Decisions)				
Ludwik Florek				
The Significance of International Labour Standards to the Transformation Process in Poland				
Part IV				
Panel Discussion: Is There a Need for the Further Development of Existing Protection Standards in the Field of Social Security? (Examined in the Light of Convention No. 102 Concerning Minimum Standards of Social Security)				
Introduced by Bernd von Maydell	187			
Héctor G. Bartolomei de la Cruz				
Wilhelm Adamy				
Christian Hess	200			
Gisbert Brinkmann	203			
Wolfgang Ohndorf	208			
Part V				
Summary of Discussions				
Angelika Nußberger				
Is the International Labour Organization in a State of Transition?				
List of Participants	245			

Abbreviations

ABGB Allgemeines Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch
AFDI Annuaire français de droit international

AFG Arbeitsförderungsgesetz

AJIL American Journal of International Law

AVAVG Gesetz über Arbeitsvermittlung und Arbeitslosenversi-

cherung

BAG Bundesarbeitsgericht
BArbBl. Bundesarbeitsblatt
BB Der Betriebs-Berater
BGB Bürgerliches Gesetzbuch
BGBl. Bundesgesetzblatt

Breith. Sammlung von Entscheidungen aus dem Sozialrecht, be-

gründet von Breithaupt

BSG Bundessozialgericht
BT-Drs. Bundestagsdrucksache
BUrlG Bundesurlaubsgesetz
BVerfG Bundesverfassungsgericht
BVerwG Bundesverwaltungsgericht

CERD Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination

cf. confer

Colum. J. of Transnat'l. L. Columbia Journal of Transnational Law CRZZ Centralna Rada Związków Zawodowych DAG Deutsche Angestelltengewerkschaft

DB Der Betrieb

DÖV Die Öffentliche Verwaltung

e.g. exempli gratia

ECHR European Convention for the Protection of Human

Rights and Fundamental Freedoms

ECOSOC Economic and Social Council
ECR European Court Reports

ed. editor edn. edition

E(E)C European (Economic) Community

EJIL European Journal of International Law

EPIL Encyclopedia of Public International Law

10 Abbreviations

ESC European Social Charter

et seq. et sequens

EuGRZ Europäische Grundrechte-Zeitschrift

Europäisches Arbeits- und Sozialrecht (Informations-

dienst)

EuZW Europäische Zeitschrift für Wirtschaft EWiR Entscheidungen zum Wirtschaftsrecht

FAO Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations

G.B. Governing Body

GYIL German Yearbook of International Law

HGB Handelsgesetzbuch
Human Rights O. Human Rights Ouarterly

IAEA International Atomic Energy Agency

ibid. ibidem

ICESCR International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural

Rights

ICJ International Court of Justice

i.e. id est

ILM International Legal Materials
ILR International Labour Review
IMF International Monetary Fund
InfAuslR Informationsbrief Ausländerrecht
IO International Organization

ITU International Telecommunication Union

JZ Juristenzeitung
LAG Landesarbeitsgericht
MP Monitor Polski

NGO Non-Governmental Organization
NILR Netherlands International Law Review
NJW Neue Juristische Wochenschrift

No. Number

NSZZ Niezależny Samorządny Związek Zawodowy

NVwZ Neue Zeitschrift für Verwaltungsrecht NZA Neue Zeitschrift für Arbeits- und Sozialrecht

NZS Neue Zeitschrift für Sozialrecht
OEG Opferentschädigungsgesetz

OJ Official Journal of Poland for publishing Acts and Regu-

lations

op.cit. opere citato para. paragraph

PCIJ Permanent Court of International Justice

RdA Recht der Arbeit

RdC Recueil des Cours de l'Académie de droit international

Abbreviations 11

Res. Resolution

RGDIP Revue générale de droit international public

RVO Reichsversicherungsordnung SGb Die Sozialgerichtsbarkeit

SozR Sozialrechtliche Rechtsprechung und Schrifttum, bear-

beitet von den Richtern des Bundessozialgerichts

SozSich Soziale Sicherheit UN United Nations

UNDP United Nations Development Programme

UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Or-

ganization

UNTS United Nations Treaty Series

UWG Gesetz über unlauteren Wettbewerb

v. versus

VGH Verwaltungsgerichtshof

Vol. Volume

VwGO Verwaltungsgerichtsordnung WTO World Trade Organization

ZaöRV Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völ-

kerrecht

ZfSH/SGB Zeitschrift für Sozialhilfe und Sozialgesetzbuch

General Introduction

Bernd von Maydell and Angelika Nußberger

Social Protection by Way of International Law - Appraisal, Deficits and Further Development formed the motto of a conference that was held from 21 to 23 November 1994 at Tutzing, near Munich, on the occasion of the 75th anniversary of the International Labour Organization. Many an observer of the diverse national developments occurring in the fields of labour and social (security) law might ask whether there even is such a thing as social protection through international rules of law. For much as transnational standard-setting activities have come to form a solid part of international legal culture in the field of rights of personal liberty, international obligations in the fields of labour and social law are - still - approached with a great deal of hesitation, all the more so because national conceptions differ widely here. Moreover, social rights, to a much greater extent than personal rights, require sensitive compromises to be made between opposing workers' and employers' interests, as well as between individual demands and the common weal, the acceptance of which, as a rule, is only warranted in the national context. Thus, the steps taken so far by the European Union, the United Nations and the Council of Europe to standardize social rights and to ensure their enforcement must, on the whole, be described as exceedingly hesitant and reserved.

Viewed against this background, the global activities pursued by the International Labour Organization are all the more remarkable. In three-quarters of a century, it has created a comprehensive body of standards in the fields of labour and social law - 175 Conventions, 182 Recommendations and over 6,000 ratifications speak for themselves.

Yet, what role do these protection standards play? Here, one might think of the political debate over the labour law effects of the *Radikalenerlaß* (ban on the appointment to the public service of persons holding radical political views), the screening of teachers from the former German Democratic Republic, the deployment of public officials in the event of strike in Germany, the prohibition of trade union activities in Poland under martial law, or forced labour in the former Soviet Union. Nonetheless, we are left with the question: Are these just singular cases in which the importance of international rules governing social rights has been magnified for political motives, or are these

examples of how pioneer ventures in the fields of international labour and social law are complied with on a general scale?

In spite of the ILO's great success, documented by the many anniversary celebrations in a number of different countries, its mission of achieving a world-wide standardization of labour and social conditions has nevertheless also encountered its share of criticism. The welfare state model underlying the entire concept is in itself often viewed with scepticism. In the light of the wide economic and social divergence between developing countries, industrialized nations and East European transformation states, the universalist approach taken by the ILO is opposed on the grounds that standard-setting activities could be carried out more efficiently at a regional level if certain groups of countries with comparable starting positions were to join forces. Particularly the collapse of the Communist bloc has led to a questioning of the ILO's raison d'être, which originally had been conceived by some as a sociopolitical response to the challenges posed by the Communist model following the 1917 October Revolution. Moreover, it is argued that the tripartite composition of its delegations, consisting of employers', workers' and government representatives, no longer reflects the actual societal structures existing in the majority of countries owing to the declining importance of trade unions. Hence, both the ILO as a whole and its fundamental structural principles such as universalism and tripartism are now being queried.

Such discussion concerning the ILO is embedded in fundamental reflections on reforms in the fields of labour and social law. In view of the global interpenetration of national economies and the increasing mobility of workers, it becomes clear that international rules are not only justifiable, but have already become inevitable - even in those legal fields which, so far, have primarily been considered matters of internal policy. Apart from the ILO, other intergovernmental and international organizations such as the European Union, the Council of Europe and the United Nations are urged to give up their restraint and to collaborate in the agglomeration of normative structures. The ILO itself, as indicated in the Report of the Director-General to the International Labour Conference of 1994: *Defending Values, Promoting Change*, must reassess such issues as the extension of its instruments and the adaptation of its supervisory mechanisms to the fast pace of modern working and social life reflected in the constant flow of national statutory amendments.

Nevertheless, debate on the introduction of reforms requires a comprehensive appraisal of existing circumstances. Possible questions here would be:

How are the weights distributed among the individual actors within the realm of international working and social life? How are the rules they create coordinated? How are these rules implemented and how is their enforcement ensured? How do they affect the individual national legal systems?

Just as important as determining the relationship between national and international rules is how they couple back to general public international law. Thus one may ask:

What are the special features of international labour and social law? To what extent can more recent developments in public international law also be made profitable to the better understanding of labour and social legislation, and, vice versa, to what extent does labour and social law create new impulses that benefit the theoretical and dogmatic structures of public international law? Can the inclusion of different societal groups in international decision-making processes - a cornerstone of ILO policy - serve as a model for framing more realistic rules of international law?

All these questions clearly show that the subject of appraising and further developing social protection with the help of international law requires an extensive scientific dialogue that also takes economic and political considerations into account. Hence, the declared aim of the Tutzing Colloquium was to intensify such an exchange of thought between legal theorists and legal practicians, and to bring together representatives of different international and supraregional organizations, national and foreign specialists in the above-mentioned fields of law, as well as representatives of management and labour.

The colloquium agenda was organized in line with the main subjects, on the basis of which the contributions to this volume have likewise been classified.

The first set of papers is devoted to the comparative analysis of the diverse protection standards.

In contrast to the ILO body of standards, which has been extended more and more over the past few decades, explicit norms governing labour and social law within the framework of the European Union tend to be the exception. Rather, the engine of development here has been the Court of Justice of the European Communities, which has come to establish social protection standards in a large number of its case decisions. However, owing to the authority vested in the Court of Justice, the enforcement of rights in this domain is warranted to a much greater extent than is possible under the supervisory procedures available to the ILO, which, on the whole, tend to be based on long-term persuasive effects, rather than on direct cogent mechanisms of enforcement. Thus, the nonlinear development of EC law in the social field is also subject to much fiercer criticism compared with the standard-setting and supervisory activities pursued by the ILO. The Council of Europe model, too, is characterized by political restraint in the transnational standardization of social rights. Thus, for instance, the Council of Europe has created binding rules, modelled on ILO standards, through its establishment of the European Social Charter, which was signed in Turin in 1961. Yet this step forward was so half-hearted in its inten-